

Clinical Practice Guidelines on the Use of Integrative Therapies as Supportive Care in Patients Treated for Breast Cancer

Heather Greenlee, Lynda G. Balneaves, Linda E. Carlson, Misha Cohen, Gary Deng, Dawn Hershman, Matthew Mumber, Jane Perlmutter, Dugald Seely, Ananda Sen, Suzanna M. Zick, Debu Tripathy; for the Society for Integrative Oncology Guidelines Working Group

Correspondence to: Heather Greenlee, ND, PhD, MPH, Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, 722W. 168th Street, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10032 (e-mail: hg2120@columbia.edu).

- Background** The majority of breast cancer patients use complementary and/or integrative therapies during and beyond cancer treatment to manage symptoms, prevent toxicities, and improve quality of life. Practice guidelines are needed to inform clinicians and patients about safe and effective therapies.
- Methods** Following the Institute of Medicine's guideline development process, a systematic review identified randomized controlled trials testing the use of integrative therapies for supportive care in patients receiving breast cancer treatment. Trials were included if the majority of participants had breast cancer and/or breast cancer patient results were reported separately, and outcomes were clinically relevant. Recommendations were organized by outcome and graded based upon a modified version of the US Preventive Services Task Force grading system.
- Results** The search (January 1, 1990–December 31, 2013) identified 4900 articles, of which 203 were eligible for analysis. Meditation, yoga, and relaxation with imagery are recommended for routine use for common conditions, including anxiety and mood disorders (Grade A). Stress management, yoga, massage, music therapy, energy conservation, and meditation are recommended for stress reduction, anxiety, depression, fatigue, and quality of life (Grade B). Many interventions ($n = 32$) had weaker evidence of benefit (Grade C). Some interventions ($n = 7$) were deemed unlikely to provide any benefit (Grade D). Notably, only one intervention, acetyl-L-carnitine for the prevention of taxane-induced neuropathy, was identified as likely harmful (Grade H) as it was found to increase neuropathy. The majority of intervention/modality combinations ($n = 138$) did not have sufficient evidence to form specific recommendations (Grade I).
- Conclusions** Specific integrative therapies can be recommended as evidence-based supportive care options during breast cancer treatment. Most integrative therapies require further investigation via well-designed controlled trials with meaningful outcomes.

J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr 2014;50:346–358

Rationale and Importance

Worldwide, an estimated 33%–47% of individuals diagnosed with cancer use complementary, alternative, or integrative therapies during cancer treatment (1). Women with breast cancer are among the highest users of such therapies and usage has been increasing (2–7). An estimated 48%–80% of North American breast cancer survivors use complementary and integrative therapies following diagnosis (2,4,5,8–12). Clear clinical practice guidelines are needed to inform clinicians and patients about the evidence supporting or discouraging the use of specific complementary and integrative therapies for defined outcomes during and beyond breast cancer treatment, including symptom management.

Definitions

Complementary and alternative therapies are generally defined as any medical system, practice, or product that is not part of conventional medical care (13,14). Examples include natural products

(ie, vitamins, minerals, botanicals, and fish oil) and mind–body practices (ie, yoga, meditation, acupuncture, and massage). *Complementary medicine* is the use of a therapy in conjunction with conventional medicine (14). *Alternative medicine* is the use of a therapy in place of conventional medicine. *Integrative medicine* is the use of evidence-based complementary practices in coordination with evidence-based conventional care. *Integrative oncology* refers to the use of complementary and integrative therapies in collaboration with conventional oncology care.

The Society for Integrative Oncology

In 2004, the Society for Integrative Oncology (SIO) (<http://www.integrativeonc.org/>) was established by leaders of integrative oncology research and practice at major cancer centers in the United States, and has since expanded to include members from more than 29 countries. The mission of SIO is to advance evidence-based, comprehensive, integrative health care to improve the lives of

people affected by cancer. SIO supports the research and evidence-based use of complementary and integrative medicine therapies in cancer patients. In 2007, SIO published general practice guidelines on the use of integrative therapies across all populations of cancer patients and survivors, which were updated in 2009 (15). SIO was invited by the American College of Chest Physicians to develop guidelines on the use of integrative therapies by lung cancer patients, which were published in 2007 (16) and updated in 2013 (17). SIO guidelines are posted on national clinical guidelines websites (<http://nccam.nih.gov/>; <http://www.guideline.gov/>).

In 2013, SIO recognized the need to further develop clear, usable, methodologically strong, and transparent guidelines on the use of integrative therapies for patients with specific types of cancer. Given that breast cancer patients are among the highest users of complementary and integrative medicine, and that the majority of relevant research to date has been conducted among this population, we focus this set of guidelines on complementary and integrative medicine use during or following breast cancer treatment as supportive care for the prevention and amelioration of symptoms, side effects, and treatment toxicities. SIO plans to update these specific guidelines every 3 years and to develop additional guidelines for other disease sites.

Purpose

These guidelines are designed to inform clinicians, patients, and researchers of the state-of-the-science regarding the evidence-based use of complementary and integrative therapies for patients receiving breast cancer treatment. The American Society of Clinical Oncology recently published clinical guidelines on the management of specific symptoms, including fatigue (18), anxiety/depressive symptoms (19), and chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy (20). The SIO guidelines differ in that they provide a single comprehensive document evaluating the evidence on a wide variety of integrative therapies used to address defined symptom complexes associated with conventional oncology treatment, including chemotherapy, radiation, and surgery, and include integrative therapies not considered in the American Society of Clinical Oncology guidelines. Following methods outlined by the Institute of Medicine (21), the guidelines were developed based upon a systematic review of the published literature on randomized controlled trials investigating the use of complementary and integrative medicine during breast cancer treatment for supportive care.

Methods

Selection of Expert Panel

A multidisciplinary panel of experts in oncology and integrative medicine was assembled to prepare these clinical practice guidelines. Panel members have expertise in medical oncology, radiation oncology, nursing, psychology, naturopathic medicine, traditional Chinese medicine, acupuncture, epidemiology, biostatistics, and patient advocacy.

Conflict of Interest

Financial conflicts of interest, including research support, were reviewed for all authors. There are no financial conflicts of interest to disclose. We note that some authors have conducted/authored some of the studies included in the review.

Rationale for Selected Interventions

A summary of the integrative modalities and clinical outcomes of interest identified and assessed by the expert panel are shown in Table 1. Several interventions were excluded for the following reasons. Some have already been well summarized by other groups [eg, diet (22,23), physical activity (22–24)], while others already have a large evidence-base and are often no longer included in the definition of integrative or complementary interventions as they have become mainstream [eg, cognitive-behavioral therapy (25), psychoeducation (26), counseling (27), and support groups (26)]. Others were in early or pilot stages of research (eg, attention restoration therapy) or were not considered integrative interventions for the purposes of these guidelines (eg, prayer, spirituality).

Rationale for Selected Outcomes

Currently, available integrative medicine guidelines focus on and are organized around individual therapies. Guidelines organized around symptoms are more practical and useful to clinicians. Only outcomes considered to be clinically relevant to patients were selected, including quality of life, organ toxicities, measurable symptoms, adverse events, and laboratory values linked to health outcomes (ie, blood count alterations leading to clinical consequences). We acknowledge that these patient-centered outcomes are largely based upon the conventional medical paradigm; other systems of medicine may value other patient-centered outcomes, which are not included here due to a lack of randomized controlled trials on such outcomes. Tumor response, recurrence, and survival outcomes were not addressed in these guidelines due to the paucity of quality trials in this area. Biomarkers not firmly linked to clinical outcomes, such as immune parameters, were excluded.

Methodology for Search

We performed a systematic review of published randomized controlled trials assessing the safety and effectiveness of integrative modalities as supportive care in women receiving standard breast cancer treatment. The panel of experts compiled search keywords associated with the interventions and outcomes of interest (see [Supplementary Appendix 1](#), available online). Nine databases (EMBASE, MEDLINE, PubMed, CINAHL, PsychINFO, Web of Science, SCOPUS, AMED, and Acutrial) were searched for studies published between January 1, 1990 and December 31, 2013. This search yielded 4900 unique articles. Article titles and abstracts were initially screened by at least two reviewers for inclusion for full review. Articles were selected for inclusion in the systematic review if they met the following criteria: 1) randomized controlled trial; 2) available in English; 3) included at least 50% breast cancer patients and/or reported results separately for breast cancer patients; 4) used an integrative modality as an intervention during standard treatment with surgery, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and/or hormonal therapy, or addressed long-term side effects resulting from diagnosis and/or treatment; and 5) had an outcome of interest as defined in Table 2 (28). We excluded other systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Full-text of all articles that met these criteria were assembled in an online database accessible to the working group (Mendeley database, www.mendeley.com).

Table 1. Summary of systematic review of randomized controlled trials on the use of integrative therapies during breast cancer treatment*

Clinical population	BC patients during treatment, including surgery, CT, hormonal/biological therapy, and RT	
Clinical question	What integrative therapies can be used to prevent, treat, and manage symptoms and side effects encountered during breast cancer treatment?	
Clinical applications	Recommendations	Strength of evidence
Anxiety/stress reduction	Music therapy is recommended for reducing anxiety during RT and CT sessions	B
	Meditation is recommended for reducing anxiety in BC patients and those undergoing RT	B
	Stress management is recommended for reducing anxiety during treatment, but longer group programs are likely better than self-administered home programs or shorter programs	B
	Yoga is recommended for reducing anxiety in BC patients undergoing RT +/- CT and suggested for fatigued patients	B
	Acupuncture can be considered for reducing anxiety in fatigued BC patients	C
	Massage can be considered for short-term reduction of anxiety in BC patients	C
	Relaxation can be considered for treating anxiety during treatment	C
Depression/mood	Meditation, particularly MBSR, is recommended for treating mood disturbance and depressive symptoms in BC patients undergoing RT	A
	Relaxation is recommended for improving mood and depressive symptoms when added to SC	A
	Yoga is recommended for improving mood in women undergoing RT +/- CT and for fatigued BC patients in addition to SC	A
	Massage is recommended for improving mood disturbance in posttreatment BC patients	B
	Music therapy is recommended for improving mood in newly diagnosed BC patients	B
	Acupuncture can be considered for improving mood in postmenopausal women experiencing hot flashes or fatigue	C
	Healing touch can be considered for improving mood in BC patients undergoing CT	C
Fatigue	Stress management interventions with or without exercise can be considered for improving mood in BC patients	C
	Energy conservation counseling is recommended for the treatment of fatigue	B
	American ginseng can be considered as an herbal approach for the treatment of fatigue in BC patients	C
	Acupuncture can be considered for the treatment of fatigue after the completion of cancer treatments	C
	Modified qigong can be considered for the treatment of fatigue in BC patients	C
	Acetyl-L-carnitine is not recommended for the treatment of fatigue due to lack of effect	D
Sleep	Guarana is not recommended as an herbal for the treatment of fatigue due to lack of effect	D
	Stress management techniques can be considered for the treatment of sleep disruption	C
Quality of life and physical functioning	Gentle yoga can be considered for the treatment of sleep disruption	C
	Meditation is recommended for improving quality of life among BC patients	A
	Acupuncture can be considered for improving quality of life among cancer patients	C
	Guided imagery can be considered for improving quality of life among BC patients	C
	Mistletoe can be considered for improving quality of life among BC patients	C
	Qigong can be considered for improving quality of life in cancer patients	C
	Reflexology can be considered for improving quality of life among BC patients	C
	Stress management can be considered for improving quality of life among BC patients	C
	Yoga can be considered for improving quality of life among BC patients	C
CINV	Exercise/awareness can be considered for improving functioning among BC patients	C
	Energy conservation is not recommended for improving functioning among BC cancer patients due to lack of effect	D
	Acupressure can be considered for BC patients receiving CT as an addition to antiemetics to help control nausea and vomiting during CT	B
	Electroacupuncture can be considered for BC patients as an addition to antiemetics to control vomiting during CT	B
	Ginger can be considered for BC patients receiving CT, without concurrent RT as an addition to antiemetics for the control of acute nausea	C
	PMR can be considered for BC patients receiving CT as an addition to antiemetics to help control nausea and vomiting during CT	C
	Glutamine is not recommended for use by BC patients receiving CT for the treatment of CINV due to lack of effect	D

(Table continues)

Table 1. Continued

Clinical applications	Recommendations	Strength of evidence
Pain	EASE can be considered for pain associated with CT among unemployed individuals	C
	Massage and healing touch can be considered for pain associated with CT	C
	Music therapy can be considered to relieve pain associated with surgery	C
	A physical training program that includes a mind-body modality can be considered for relieving pain associated with surgery among BC patients	C
	Hypnosis can be considered for relief of associated with surgery in BC patients	C
	Acupuncture can be considered as a nonpharmacologic approach to the short-term treatment of AIMSS	C
	Electroacupuncture can be considered as a nonpharmacologic approach to the short-term treatment of AIMSS	C
Neuropathy	Acetyl-L-carnitine is not recommended for prevention of neuropathy in BC patients due to harm	H
Lymphedema	Laser therapy can be considered as a treatment for lymphedema in BC patients	C
	MLD and compression bandaging have been shown to be equivalent. MLD can be considered for treatment of lymphedema in BC patients who have sensitivity to bandaging	C
Hot flashes	Acupuncture can be considered for decreasing the number of hot flashes in BC patients	C
	Electroacupuncture can be considered for decreasing the number of hot flashes in BC patients	C
	Soy is not recommended for the treatment of hot flashes in BC patients due to lack of effect	D
Acute radiation skin reaction	Aloe vera is not recommended as a standard therapy to prevent or treat acute radiation skin reaction due to lack of effect	D
	Hyaluronic acid cream is not recommended as a standard therapy to prevent or treat acute radiation skin reaction due to lack of effect	D

* AIMSS = aromatase inhibitor-associated musculoskeletal symptoms; BC = breast cancer; CINV = chemotherapy-induced nausea vomiting; CT = chemotherapy; EASE = energy and sleep enhancement; MBSR = mindfulness-based stress reduction; MLD = manual lymphatic drainage; PMR = progressive muscle relaxation; RT = radiation therapy; SC = standard care.

Table 2. List of interventions and clinical outcomes of interest

Intervention
Acupuncture
Creative therapies
Hypnosis
Imagery/relaxation
Meditation
Mind-body practices
Natural products (eg, botanicals, vitamins, minerals)
Stress management
Tai Chi/qigong
Yoga
Whole systems*
Clinical outcomes of interest (in alphabetical order)
Fatigue**
Gastrointestinal**
Gynecological
Hematological
Lymphedema**
Neurological**
Neuromuscular**
Pain**
Psychological**
Quality of life**
Renal
Skin**
Sleep**
Vasomotor symptoms**

* Whole systems are defined as an approach to health care in which practitioners apply bodies of knowledge and associated practices to maximize the patients' capacity to achieve mental and physical balance and restore their own health, using individualized, nonreductionist approaches to diagnosis and treatment. In whole systems, the practitioner-patient relationship plays an important role and continues to evolve over time (28).

** Indicates where Grades A, B, C, D, and H recommendations are made in these guidelines, based upon quality of evidence.

A second round of screening consisted of a full-text scan to further remove articles that did not meet the inclusion criteria. A total of 203 articles met the criteria for final inclusion in the review.

Quality Scoring Criteria

Data from the 203 articles were extracted and scored based on study quality. Two reviewers were assigned each article and discrepancies were addressed by a third reviewer. Quality was assessed using the Jadad scoring scale (29) and a modified scale adapted from the Delphi scoring scale (30). See [Supplementary Appendix 2](#) (available online) for a description of the quality scoring process and [Supplementary Table 1](#) (available online) for a description of the quality scoring criteria. Study quality was not an exclusion criterion, but was a measure of validity, which along with the magnitude and certainty of benefit or harm, guided the grading of clinical recommendations.

Clinical Recommendations

For each modality applied to a specific outcome, a modified version of the US Preventive Services Task Force grading system was used to develop and grade recommendations ([Tables 3 and 4](#)) (31). If a trial had multiple outcomes, each outcome was assessed individually as it applied to the body of evidence for the specific modality/outcome pair. Ingestible and injectable natural products were specifically assessed for potential risk of toxicities and/or interactions with concurrent breast cancer therapies given the potential for drug interactions. The panel of experts compiled the data and drafted the recommendations. Draft guidelines were internally and externally reviewed by clinicians, researchers, patient advocates, and other stakeholders. Feedback was incorporated into the final guidelines.

Table 3. Society for integrative oncology grade of recommendations*

Grade	Definition	Suggestions for practice
A	Recommends the modality. There is high certainty that the net benefit is substantial.	Offer/provide this modality
B	Recommends the modality. There is high certainty that the net benefit is moderate or there is moderate certainty that the net benefit is moderate to substantial.	Offer/provide this modality
C	Recommends selectively offering or providing this service to individual patients based on professional judgment and patient preferences. There is at least moderate certainty that the net benefit is small.	Offer/provide this modality for selected patients depending on individual circumstances
D	Recommends against the service. There is moderate or high certainty that the modality has no net benefit.	Discourage the use of this modality
H	Recommends against the service. There is moderate or high certainty that the harms outweigh the benefits.	Discourage the use of this modality
I statement	Concludes that the current evidence is insufficient to assess the balance of benefits and harms of the service. Evidence is lacking, of poor quality, or conflicting, and the balance of benefits and harms cannot be determined.	Read the Clinical Considerations section of the USPSTF Recommendation Statement. If the service is offered, patients should understand the uncertainty about the balance of benefits and harms.

* Adapted from US Preventive Services Task Force (31).

Clinical Guidelines

Overview. The recommendations from our systematic review are based on the strength of evidence using accepted standards. Along with trial quality and size, we considered the magnitude and type of benefit as well as harms to formulate practical, responsible, and defensible guidelines. Most of the guidelines are focused on the period during active cancer treatment and the period following when treatment side effects may persist. Cancer treatments include surgery, chemotherapy, radiation therapy, and hormonal therapy. The guidelines address both short- and long-term side effects (generally months or even years following treatment) and treatment toxicities that affect breast cancer survivors. These graded recommendations are intended to help clinicians and patients engage in informed and meaningful dialogue with each other regardless of their final course of action.

There are several key caveats to the recommendations presented herein. First, clinicians and patients should adopt shared decision-making approaches when assessing the risk-benefit ratio for each therapy. It is important to personalize the recommendations based upon patients' values and clinical characteristics (32). Specific considerations that can affect the recommendation of complementary and integrative therapies include, but are not limited to: stage of disease, the overall goal of anticancer therapy (ie, curative vs palliative); whether complementary and integrative therapies are given concurrently with anticancer therapy and if there is potential for interactions; known toxicity of specific anticancer therapy; patient performance status and patient adherence. Second, integrative approaches by definition are used alongside/in combination with conventional medical care and should be fully communicated to all health-care providers involved in the patient's care. All modalities should be administered by qualified and experienced providers, if applicable, who have the appropriate training, licensure, and credentialing. Ongoing communication and exchange of treatment

summaries among all health-care providers should take place. Third, as is the case with most therapies, responses to integrative treatments are highly variable. Patients should be monitored for efficacy and toxicity, including futility and adverse effects, and encouraged to keep symptom logs and/or use validated patient-reported outcome tools (33–34). Treatment should be stopped for unfavorable or neutral risk/benefit effects. Most of the studies testing these therapies compared the intervention of interest to standard care, so we cannot make claims about comparative efficacy (ie, whether one intervention is better than another). Finally, patient preference, as well as cost, degree of invasiveness, and effort involved should be taken into account when considering treatment plans.

Of note, there were a large number of therapies that were deemed to have insufficient evidence to form recommendations. **Supplementary Tables 2–13**, available online, include references and information regarding such therapies. A small number of natural products that were investigated in large and/or multiple trials and did not have an effect were given a Grade D.

Anxiety and Stress

Music therapy [Grade B (35–39)] is recommended for the short-term relief of anxiety during radiation therapy and chemotherapy. Meditation [Grade B (40–43)] including mindfulness-based stress reduction, yoga [Grade B (44–51)], and stress management programs [Grade B (52–56)] are recommended to reduce longer term anxiety both during and after treatment. Longer stress management groups (55) are likely more effective than short home study programs (52). Acupuncture [Grade C (57)] can be considered for treating anxiety concurrent with ongoing fatigue. Relaxation [Grade C (58–62)] and massage therapy [Grade C (63–66)] both can be considered for the short-term relief of anxiety during treatment. In this section, outcomes of “pure” anxiety and stress were considered [eg, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (67) and Perceived Stress Scale (68)]. Mixed

Table 4. Society for integrative oncology level of certainty of recommendations*

Level of certainty**	Description
High	The available evidence usually includes consistent results from well-designed, well-conducted studies in representative breast cancer patient populations. These studies assess the effects of the modality and conclusion is therefore unlikely to be strongly affected by the results of future studies.
Moderate	The available evidence is sufficient to determine the effects of the modality on health outcomes, but confidence in the estimate is constrained by such factors as: the number, size, or quality of individual studies; inconsistency of findings across individual studies; limited generalizability of findings to routine breast oncology practice; and lack of coherence in the chain of evidence. As more information becomes available, the magnitude or direction of the observed effect could change, and this change may be large enough to alter the conclusion.
Low	The available evidence is insufficient to assess effects on health outcomes. Evidence is insufficient because of: the limited number or size of studies; important flaws in study design or methods; inconsistency of findings across individual studies; gaps in the chain of evidence; findings that are not generalizable to routine breast oncology practice; and a lack of information on important health outcomes. More information may allow an estimation of effects on health outcomes.

* Adapted from US Preventive Services Task Force (31).

** Certainty is defined as "likelihood that the Society for Integrative Oncology Guidelines Working Group assessment of the net benefit of a supportive care service is correct." The net benefit is defined as benefit minus harm of the supportive care service as implemented in a general breast oncology population. The Society for Integrative Oncology Guidelines Working Group assigns a certainty level on the basis of the nature of the overall evidence available to assess the net benefit of a supportive care service.

measures of general mood [eg, Profile of Mood States (69)] were grouped in the next section with traditional depression outcomes [eg, Beck Depression Inventory (70)]. For the purposes of these guidelines, mindfulness-based stress reduction is considered to be a form of meditation. The primary emphasis of mindfulness-based stress reduction is on daily training in traditional contemplative mindfulness meditation practices including sitting, lying, and walking meditation with mindful movement using gentle Hatha yoga poses. For further details, see [Supplementary Table 2](#), available online.

Depression and Mood

Meditation [Grade A (39,40–43,56,71)] particularly mindfulness-based stress reduction, is recommended for improving mood and depression during radiation therapy and posttreatment. Yoga alone [Grade A (44–49,51,75–78)] and relaxation [Grade A (58,60,61,79,80)] are also recommended for improving mood and depressive symptoms during radiation therapy and chemotherapy and in the presence of fatigue. For newly diagnosed patients, music therapy [Grade B (37,81,82)] is recommended to improve mood and depressive symptoms. Massage [Grade B (64–66,83–85)] is recommended for improving mood disturbance in posttreatment survivors. Stress management [Grade C (52–54)] can be considered to improve mood and depressive

symptoms. Healing touch [Grade C (86,87)] can be considered for improving mood in patients undergoing chemotherapy. Acupuncture [Grade C (57,88)] can be considered for improving depressive symptoms in women suffering from hot flashes. For further details, see [Supplementary Table 3](#), available online.

Fatigue

Energy conservation/activity management [Grade B (89)] is recommended for fatigue management. Qigong [Grade C (90,91)] and post-treatment acupuncture [Grade C (57,92–94)] can also be considered to manage fatigue. About 2000mg daily of encapsulated American ginseng root powder standardized to 3% ginsenosides [Grade C (95,96)] can be considered to improve fatigue during chemotherapy and radiation. An estrogenic effect from a ginseng methanolic extract has been observed in breast cancer cell lines (97–100). However, the formulation studied in the trials was a whole root product for which no long-term evidence exists regarding safety or harm. Acetyl-L-carnitine [Grade D (101)] and guarana [Grade D (102,103)] are not recommended for the treatment of fatigue due to lack of effect. For further details, see [Supplementary Table 4](#), available online.

Sleep Quality

Gentle yoga [Grade C (45,46,76,104)] and stress management techniques [Grade C (53,105)] can be considered for treatment of sleep disruption. For further details, see [Supplementary Table 5](#), available online.

Global Quality of Life and Physical Functioning

Meditation [Grade A (40–42,72–74,106)] is recommended for improving quality of life, while relaxation and guided imagery [Grade C (79–81,107)], qigong [Grade C (90,108)], reflexology [Grade C (109–111)], stress management [Grade C (52,53,54,114)], and yoga [Grade C (46–49,75–78,115,116)] can also be considered. Acupuncture studies [Grade C (57,117,118)] demonstrated mixed results for improving quality of life, although no studies showed deleterious effect. Mistletoe [Grade C (119–121)] can be considered for improving quality of life in the short term, but there are limited data assessing long-term effects, interactions, and toxicities. There is some evidence of reversible hepatotoxicity at high doses of mistletoe (122,123). Exercise programs that include a relaxation/stress management component [Grade C (54,124)] can be considered as options for improving physical functioning, while programs oriented towards energy conservation [Grade D (89,125)] are not recommended. For further details, see [Supplementary Table 6](#), available online.

Chemotherapy-Induced Nausea and Vomiting

Electroacupuncture [Grade B (126,127)], acupressure [Grade B (128–130)], and progressive muscle relaxation [Grade C (60,80)] can be considered as an addition to antiemetics for controlling chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting (CINV). There is stronger evidence on the use of acupuncture/electroacupuncture for CINV in other cancer populations (131–134). Ginger [Grade C (135,136)] in combination with antiemetics can be considered to control acute nausea, but not acute vomiting nor delayed nausea and vomiting. There is similar evidence on the use of ginger to control nausea in other populations (137,138). However, ginger should not be coadministered with the antiemetic aprepitant because of a

possible negative interaction between the two agents on delayed CINV (139). Glutamine [Grade D (140,141)] is not recommended for treatment of CINV due to lack of effect. For further details, see [Supplementary Table 7](#), available online.

Pain

Healing touch [Grade C (87)] and energy and sleep enhancement programs [Grade C (125)] can be considered for treating pain during chemotherapy. Music therapy [Grade C (35,142)], a physical training program that includes a mind–body modality [Grade C (83,143)] and hypnosis [Grade C (144,145)] can be considered for treating pain associated with cancer surgery. Acupuncture [Grade C (146–148)] and electroacupuncture [Grade C (149,150)] can be considered for pain associated with aromatase inhibitor-associated musculoskeletal symptoms. For further details, see [Supplementary Table 8](#), available online.

Taxane-Induced Neuropathy

Acetyl-L-carnitine is not recommended for prevention of taxane-induced neuropathy and was shown to increase neuropathy in one large study [Grade H (101)]. For further details, see [Supplementary Table 9](#), available online.

Lymphedema

Manual lymph drainage [Grade C (151–157)] and low-frequency laser therapy and electrotherapy [Grade C (158,159)] can be considered for reducing arm volume and improving lymphedema-related quality of life, particularly among those breast cancer survivors who are unable to tolerate compression bandaging due to allergies or discomfort. For further details, see [Supplementary Table 10](#), available online.

Vasomotor Symptoms

Acupuncture [Grade C (88,160–164)] and electroacupuncture [Grade C (165,166)] can be considered for reducing hot flashes in survivors. At the dose and formulations tested, soy isoflavone extracts or soy as food [Grade D (167–169)] cannot be recommended to prevent or treat hot flashes in breast cancer survivors because it has not been found to be efficacious. For further details, see [Supplementary Table 11](#), available online.

Acute Skin Reaction From Radiation Therapy

Aloe vera gel [Grade D (170,171)] and hyaluronic acid [Grade D (172,173)] are not recommended to prevent or treat acute radiation skin reaction from radiation therapy due to lack of effect. For further details, see [Supplementary Table 12](#), available online.

Other Outcomes

There are insufficient data from existing trials to make guideline-level recommendations on interventions to prevent and/or treat side effects and symptoms related to cognition, anemia, neutropenia/leukopenia, alopecia, cardiomyopathy and adherence to standard treatment. The search did not identify any eligible trials that addressed hepatic, renal, or gynecologic toxicities or side effects. For further details, see [Supplementary Table 13](#), available online.

Suggestions for Future Research

To formulate trusted clinical guidelines, the Institute of Medicine recommends conducting a systematic review of the evidence and clearly and conservatively assessing the benefits and harms of all care options; a process followed for this review (21). Many trials available for review shared common limitations, including small study sizes, poorly reported or unstated delineation of outcomes (ie, primary, secondary, or exploratory outcomes), lack of standardized outcome measures, use of surrogate measures with limited clinical relevance, omission of toxicity and adverse event data, inadequate statistical methods, and lack of blinding and/or appropriate control groups. Future trials need to address and avoid these limitations. There are multiple challenges to identifying appropriate control groups and maintaining relevant blinding for natural products, acupuncture and mind–body therapies. However, these challenges are not insurmountable and many can be addressed in the study design phase. To improve the validity of future studies, it is critical that trials measure clinically relevant and standardized outcomes using validated tools and analyzed with accepted and appropriately chosen statistical methods to better allow for pooled analyses.

Similar to some trials of conventional supportive care interventions, many of the trials reviewed here did not assess drug interactions and/or long-term safety considerations. As a result, some of the natural products that had strong evidence on short-term effects, but lacked data on long-term safety and toxicity outcomes, were downgraded from a Grade B to a Grade C (eg, mistletoe). As potentially bioactive agents with the possibility of drug interactions, botanical products and dietary supplements should be studied with appropriate assays to detect drug interactions. If indicated, long-term clinical studies should be powered to identify positive and negative interaction effects on overall and disease-free/progression-free survival. Quality control standards on the formulation/composition and relevant bioactivity need to be expanded and uniformly adopted.

Key areas of unmet need were identified for future research. These include studies to address peripheral neuropathy, arthralgias, mucositis, fatigue, and cognitive dysfunction using large sample sizes with well-defined clinical characteristics. Although not sufficient for Grade A or B recommendations, there is promising evidence on the use of acupuncture for nausea, fatigue, anxiety, pain, and quality of life; the use of acupressure and ginseng for fatigue; the use of mistletoe for quality of life; the use of ginger for CINV, and the use of stress management techniques for improving mood, quality of life, and sleep. Low-cost strategies, such as the use of electronic medical records, and the utilization of established research networks, such as cooperative groups, can be efficient means to yield high quality and useful clinical outcomes data. Researchers can consider incorporating assessment of complementary and integrative therapy use and intervention effects to conventional treatment trials. Trials should investigate the comparative effectiveness of Grade A and B interventions to better inform patients and clinicians who are actively making decisions on the use of complementary and integrative interventions that have varying levels of benefit. Pragmatic and preference-based trials in real-world clinical and community settings will be important in establishing treatment effectiveness. These suggested strategies will require research funding prioritized to conduct preliminary

hypothesis testing trials to identify promising therapies, which can then be further tested in larger, more definitive trials using adequate statistical power, appropriately selected patients, longitudinal designs, validated endpoints, and optimized complementary and integrative medicine modalities.

Limitations

As with any systematic review, there are limitations to this process. This search targeted articles focused on the use of integrative therapies during active breast cancer treatment. We only reviewed primary analyses of randomized controlled trials and did not analyze other systematic reviews, meta-analyses, or observational studies. In addition, we only included trials that were comprised of a majority of breast cancer patients, which excluded a number of high-quality trials of similar interventions among other cancer patient populations. By using search criteria that started with articles published in 1990, we placed a higher value on more contemporary studies because these patient populations received treatments more comparable to current breast cancer treatment regimens, while recognizing that future guideline may include a separate set of criteria for meta-analyses and overviews. We took this conservative approach because no previous integrative oncology guidelines had been formulated using a highly systematic process.

A major challenge to interpreting this literature is the lack of standardization of interventions across trials using similar therapeutic approaches (eg, natural products and mind-body therapies). Such lack of standardization can make it complicated to apply and administer the guidelines, especially for natural products. In addition, some integrative therapies are applied in a variety of settings (early vs advanced stages disease, a spectrum of symptom severity), such that the clinical criteria for using some therapies may not be straightforward. However, many of the approaches identified here are low risk (eg, stress reduction), and the lack of standardized approaches may not greatly influence their clinical application. Future efforts focusing on increasing levels of reproducibility and standardization should be concentrated on interventions with higher risk profiles.

Though the search was detailed and clinically oriented, it may have missed some articles that addressed treatment-related effects following the treatment period. Similarly, there are a number of treatment-related side effects that are common across chemotherapy regimens and not limited to a specific cancer (eg, febrile neutropenia, blood counts, CINV). As this search was restricted to breast cancer patient populations, it may not have included the full range of legitimate trials that addressed the outcome of interest. All systematic reviews need to have a defined time period and be acknowledged as such. The field of integrative oncology is rapidly expanding and since the period of the search, a handful of trials were published on the use of yoga for mood and fatigue and quality of life, which may have upgraded the recommendations (174,175). Finally, a large number of breast cancer survivors use natural products following a diagnosis, and at this time, there is a paucity of data to inform clinical recommendations on such use.

Conclusions

Integrative therapies are commonly used by breast cancer survivors for many indications, including managing the side effects of

cancer therapy and improving quality of life. Randomized controlled clinical trials in patients receiving treatment for breast cancer provide strong evidence (Grade A) on the use of behavioral therapies (eg, meditation/mindfulness, relaxation) and yoga for mood improvement in the context of depression and anxiety during cancer treatment. Lower grades of recommendations (Grade B) can be made for massage and stress management for mood improvement and energy conservation in the context of treatment-associated fatigue. A number of interventions for a diverse set of symptoms attained a Grade C, representing a significant dilemma for patients and health-care providers as they face decisions on whether or not to use or recommend these modalities. Grade C interventions are supported by some evidence from randomized controlled clinical trials, but do not have a large body of evidence to support their use. As such, Grade C interventions represent areas of greater need for additional research. Grade C interventions require shared decision making between patients and providers, a discussion of the risk-benefit for all available treatments, and monitoring for efficacy, futility, and harm and balanced against the availability of conventional treatments. Apart from using ginseng for fatigue, ginger for CINV and mistletoe to improve quality of life (all Grade C), recommendations cannot be made for other botanical products or dietary supplements, and harm has been attributed to acetyl-L-carnitine for the prevention of neuropathy. Some of the natural products had sufficient efficacy data but lacked long-term safety data to warrant a higher grade. Given the limited number of Grade A and Grade B recommendations, clinicians should engage patients in shared decision making using evidence-based projected benefits and harms that reflect patient values and preferences, as well as acknowledge their clinical prognosis. In the decision-making process, clinicians and patients can make use of high-quality resources to summarize potential side effects and interactions of natural products, such as Natural Standard (<https://naturalmedicines.therapeuticresearch.com>). The field of integrative oncology represents a high priority for research as the overarching goal is to identify safe and efficacious integrative and conventional therapies to address unmet patient needs.

References

1. Horneber M, Bueschel G, Dennert G, Less D, Ritter E, Zwahlen M. How many cancer patients use complementary and alternative medicine: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2012;11(3):187–203.
2. Boon HS, Olatunde F, Zick SM. Trends in complementary/alternative medicine use by breast cancer survivors: comparing survey data from 1998 and 2005. *BMC Womens Health*. 2007;7:4.
3. Greenlee H, Kwan ML, Ergas IJ, et al. Changes in vitamin and mineral supplement use after breast cancer diagnosis in the Pathways Study: a prospective cohort study. *BMC Cancer*. 2014;14:382.
4. Link AR, Gammon MD, Jacobson JS, et al. Use of self-care and practitioner-based forms of complementary and alternative medicine before and after a diagnosis of breast cancer. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med*. 2013;2013:301549.
5. Greenlee H, Kwan ML, Ergas IJ, et al. Complementary and alternative therapy use before and after breast cancer diagnosis: the Pathways Study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2009;117(3):653–665.
6. Matsuno RK, Pagano IS, Maskarinec G, Issell BF, Gotay CC. Complementary and alternative medicine use and breast cancer prognosis: a pooled analysis of four population-based studies of breast cancer survivors. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*. 2012;21(12):1252–1258.

7. Bright-Gebrey M, Makambi KH, Rohan JP, et al. Use of multivitamins, folic acid and herbal supplements among breast cancer survivors: the Black Women's Health Study. *BMC Complement Altern Med*. 2011;11:30.
8. Saquib J, Madlensky L, Kealey S, et al. Classification of CAM use and its correlates in patients with early-stage breast cancer. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2011;10(2):138–147.
9. Boon H, Stewart M, Kennard MA, et al. Use of complementary/alternative medicine by breast cancer survivors in Ontario: prevalence and perceptions. *J Clin Oncol*. 2000;18(13):2515–2521.
10. Nahleh Z, Tabbara IA. Complementary and alternative medicine in breast cancer patients. *Palliat Support Care*. 2003;1(3):267–273.
11. Ashikaga T, Bosompra K, O'Brien P, Nelson L. Use of complimentary and alternative medicine by breast cancer patients: prevalence, patterns and communication with physicians. *Support Care Cancer*. 2002;10(7):542–548.
12. Buettner C, Kroenke CH, Phillips RS, Davis RB, Eisenberg DM, Holmes MD. Correlates of use of different types of complementary and alternative medicine by breast cancer survivors in the Nurses' Health Study. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2006;100(2):219–227.
13. CAM definitions. Office of Cancer Complementary and Alternative Medicine Web site. http://cam.cancer.gov/health_definitions.html. Accessed June 1, 2014.
14. Complementary, alternative, or integrative health: what's in a name? National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine Web site. <http://nccam.nih.gov/health/whatiscam>. Published October 2008. Updated July 2014. Accessed June 1, 2014.
15. Deng GE, Frenkel M, Cohen L, et al. Evidence-based clinical practice guidelines for integrative oncology: complementary therapies and botanicals. *J Soc Integr Oncol*. 2009;7(3):85–120.
16. Cassileth BR, Deng GE, Gomez JE, Johnstone PA, Kumar N, Vickers AJ. Complementary therapies and integrative oncology in lung cancer: ACCP evidence-based clinical practice guidelines (2nd edition). *Chest*. 2007;132(suppl 3):340S–354S.
17. Deng GE, Rausch SM, Jones LW, et al. Complementary therapies and integrative medicine in lung cancer: diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American College of Chest Physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. *Chest*. 2013;143(suppl 5):e420S–e436S.
18. Bower JE, Bak K, Berger A, et al. Screening, assessment, and management of fatigue in adult survivors of cancer: an American Society of Clinical oncology clinical practice guideline adaptation. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32(17):1840–1850.
19. Andersen BL, DeRubeis RJ, Berman BS, et al. Screening, assessment, and care of anxiety and depressive symptoms in adults with cancer: an American Society of Clinical Oncology guideline adaptation. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32(15):1605–1619.
20. Hershman DL, Lacchetti C, Dworkin RH, et al. Prevention and management of chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy in survivors of adult cancers: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32(18):1941–1967.
21. Graham R, Mancher M, Miller Wolman D, et al. eds.; Committee on Standards for Developing Trustworthy Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2011.
22. World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. *Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Cancer: A Global Perspective*. Washington, DC: AICR; 2007.
23. Rock CL, Doyle C, Demark-Wahnefried W, et al. Nutrition and physical activity guidelines for cancer survivors. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2012;62(4):243–274.
24. Schmitz KH, Courneya KS, Matthews C, et al. American College of Sports Medicine roundtable on exercise guidelines for cancer survivors. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2010;42(7):1409–1426.
25. Duijts SF, Faber MM, Oldenburg HS, van Beurden M, Aaronson NK. Effectiveness of behavioral techniques and physical exercise on psychosocial functioning and health-related quality of life in breast cancer patients and survivors—a meta-analysis. *Psychooncology*. 2011;20(2):115–126.
26. Faller H, Schuler M, Richard M, Heckl U, Weis J, Küffner R. Effects of psycho-oncologic interventions on emotional distress and quality of life in adult patients with cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(6):782–793.
27. Galway K, Black A, Cantwell M, Cardwell CR, Mills M, Donnelly M. Psychosocial interventions to improve quality of life and emotional well-being for recently diagnosed cancer patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2012;11:CD007064.
28. Ritenbaugh C, Verhoef M, Fleishman S, Boon H, Leis A. Whole systems research: a discipline for studying complementary and alternative medicine. *Altern Ther Health Med*. 2003;9(4):32–36.
29. Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: is blinding necessary? *Control Clin Trials*. 1996;17(1):1–12.
30. Verhagen AP, de Vet HC, de Bie RA, et al. The Delphi list: a criteria list for quality assessment of randomized clinical trials for conducting systematic reviews developed by Delphi consensus. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1998;51(12):1235–1241.
31. Grade definitions. US Preventive Services Task Force Web site. <http://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/grades.htm>. Published 2008. Updated February 2013. Accessed May 10, 2014.
32. Tariman JD, Berry DL, Cochrane B, Doorenbos A, Schepp K. Preferred and actual participation roles during health care decision making in persons with cancer: a systematic review. *Ann Oncol*. 2010;21(6):1145–1151.
33. Basch E, Abernethy AP, Mullins CD, et al. Recommendations for incorporating patient-reported outcomes into clinical comparative effectiveness research in adult oncology. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30(34):4249–4255.
34. Valderas JM, Kotzeva A, Espallargues M, et al. The impact of measuring patient-reported outcomes in clinical practice: a systematic review of the literature. *Qual Life Res*. 2008;17(2):179–193.
35. Binns-Turner PG, Wilson LL, Pryor ER, Boyd GL, Prickett CA. Perioperative music and its effects on anxiety, hemodynamics, and pain in women undergoing mastectomy. *AANA J*. 2011;79(suppl 4):S21–S27.
36. Bulfone T, Quattrin R, Zanotti R, Regattin L, Brusaferrero S. Effectiveness of music therapy for anxiety reduction in women with breast cancer in chemotherapy treatment. *Holist Nurs Pract*. 2009;23(4):238–242.
37. Hanser SB, Bauer-Wu S, Kubicek L, et al. Effects of a music therapy intervention on quality of life and distress in women with metastatic breast cancer. *J Soc Integr Oncol*. 2006;4(3):116–124.
38. Li XM, Zhou KN, Yan H, Wang DL, Zhang YP. Effects of music therapy on anxiety of patients with breast cancer after radical mastectomy: a randomized clinical trial. *J Adv Nurs*. 2012;68(5):1145–1155.
39. Hoffman CJ, Ersser SJ, Hopkinson JB, Nicholls PG, Harrington JE, Thomas PW. Effectiveness of mindfulness-based stress reduction in mood, breast- and endocrine-related quality of life, and well-being in stage 0 to III breast cancer: a randomized, controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30(12):1335–1342.
40. Crane-Okada R, Kiger H, Sugerman F, et al. Mindful movement program for older breast cancer survivors: a pilot study. *Cancer Nurs*. 2012;35(4):E1–13.
41. Kim YH, Kim HJ, Ahn SD, Seo YJ, Kim SH. Effects of meditation on anxiety, depression, fatigue, and quality of life of women undergoing radiation therapy for breast cancer. *Complement Ther Med*. 2013;21(4):379–387.
42. Lengacher CA, Johnson-Mallard V, Post-White J, et al. Randomized controlled trial of mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) for survivors of breast cancer. *Psychooncology*. 2009;18(12):1261–1272.
43. Würtzen H, Dalton SO, Elsass P, et al. Mindfulness significantly reduces self-reported levels of anxiety and depression: results of a randomised controlled trial among 336 Danish women treated for stage I–III breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2013;49(6):1365–1373.
44. Banerjee B, Vadiraj HS, Ram A, et al. Effects of an integrated yoga program in modulating psychological stress and radiation-induced genotoxic stress in breast cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2007;6(3):242–250.
45. Bower JE, Garet D, Sternlieb B, et al. Yoga for persistent fatigue in breast cancer survivors: a randomized controlled trial. *Cancer*. 2012;118(15):3766–3775.
46. Chandwani KD, Thornton B, Perkins GH, et al. Yoga improves quality of life and benefit finding in women undergoing radiotherapy for breast cancer. *J Soc Integr Oncol*. 2010;8(2):43–55.
47. Dhruva A, Miaskowski C, Abrams D, et al. Yoga breathing for cancer chemotherapy-associated symptoms and quality of life: results of a pilot randomized controlled trial. *J Altern Complement Med*. 2012;18(5):473–479.

48. Pruthi S, Stan DL, Jenkins SM, et al. A Randomized controlled pilot study assessing feasibility and impact of yoga practice on quality of life, mood, and perceived stress in women with newly diagnosed breast cancer. *Glob Adv Health Med*. 2012;1(5):30–35.
49. Raghavendra RM, Nagarathna R, Nagendra HR, et al. Effects of an integrated yoga programme on chemotherapy-induced nausea and emesis in breast cancer patients. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)*. 2007;16(6):462–474.
50. Rao MR, Raghuram N, Nagendra HR, et al. Anxiolytic effects of a yoga program in early breast cancer patients undergoing conventional treatment: a randomized controlled trial. *Complement Ther Med*. 2009;17(1):1–8.
51. Vadiraja HS, Raghavendra RM, Nagarathna R, et al. Effects of a yoga program on cortisol rhythm and mood states in early breast cancer patients undergoing adjuvant radiotherapy: a randomized controlled trial. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2009;8(1):37–46.
52. Aguado Loi CX, Taylor TR, McMillan S, et al. Use and helpfulness of self-administered stress management therapy in patients undergoing cancer chemotherapy in community clinical settings. *J Psychosoc Oncol*. 2012;30(1):57–80.
53. Garssen B, Boomsma MF, Meezenbroek Ede J, et al. Stress management training for breast cancer surgery patients. *Psychooncology*. 2013;22(3):572–580.
54. Jacobsen PB, Phillips KM, Jim HS, et al. Effects of self-directed stress management training and home-based exercise on quality of life in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy: a randomized controlled trial. *Psychooncology*. 2013;22(6):1229–1235.
55. Phillips KM, Antoni MH, Lechner SC, et al. Stress management intervention reduces serum cortisol and increases relaxation during treatment for nonmetastatic breast cancer. *Psychosom Med*. 2008;70(9):1044–1049.
56. Carlson LE, Doll R, Stephen J, et al. Randomized controlled trial of Mindfulness-based cancer recovery versus supportive expressive group therapy for distressed survivors of breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(25):3119–3126.
57. Molassiotis A, Bardy J, Finnegan-John J, et al. Acupuncture for cancer-related fatigue in patients with breast cancer: a pragmatic randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30(36):4470–4476.
58. Hilderley M, Holt M. A pilot randomized trial assessing the effects of autogenic training in early stage cancer patients in relation to psychological status and immune system responses. *Eur J Oncol Nurs*. 2004;8(1):61–65.
59. Kovačič T, Zagoričnik M, Kovačič M. Impact of relaxation training according to the Yoga In Daily Life® system on anxiety after breast cancer surgery. *J Complement Integr Med*. 2013;10(1):153–164.
60. Molassiotis A, Yung HP, Yam BM, Chan FY, Mok TS. The effectiveness of progressive muscle relaxation training in managing chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting in Chinese breast cancer patients: a randomised controlled trial. *Support Care Cancer*. 2002;10(3):237–246.
61. Nunes DF, Rodriguez AL, da Silva Hoffmann F, et al. Relaxation and guided imagery program in patients with breast cancer undergoing radiotherapy is not associated with neuroimmunomodulatory effects. *J Psychosom Res*. 2007;63(6):647–655.
62. Kovačič T, Kovačič M. Impact of relaxation training according to Yoga In Daily Life® system on perceived stress after breast cancer surgery. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2011;10(1):16–26.
63. Billhult A, Bergbom I, Stener-Victorin E. Massage relieves nausea in women with breast cancer who are undergoing chemotherapy. *J Altern Complement Med*. 2007;13(1):53–57.
64. Hernandez-Reif M, Ironson G, Field T, et al. Breast cancer patients have improved immune and neuroendocrine functions following massage therapy. *J Psychosom Res*. 2004;57(1):45–52.
65. Listing M, Krohn M, Liezmann C, et al. The efficacy of classical massage on stress perception and cortisol following primary treatment of breast cancer. *Arch Womens Ment Health*. 2010;13(2):165–173.
66. Wilkinson SM, Love SB, Westcombe AM, et al. Effectiveness of aromatherapy massage in the management of anxiety and depression in patients with cancer: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2007;25(5):532–539.
67. Spielberger, CD. *Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory: STAI (Form Y)*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press; 1983.
68. Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. *J Health Soc Behav*. 1983;24(4):385–396.
69. McNair DM, Lorr M, Droppleman LF. *Profile of Mood States*. San Diego, CA: EdITS/Educational and Industrial Testing Service; 1992.
70. Beck AT, Beamesderfer A. Assessment of depression: the depression inventory. *Mod Probl Pharmacopsychiatry*. 1974;7:151–169.
71. Milbury K, Chaoul A, Biegler K, et al. Tibetan sound meditation for cognitive dysfunction: results of a randomized controlled pilot trial. *Psychooncology*. 2013; 22(10):2354–2363. doi:10.1002/pon.3296.
72. Henderson VP, Massion AO, Clemow L, Hurley TG, Druker S, Hébert JR. A randomized controlled trial of mindfulness-based stress reduction for women with early-stage breast cancer receiving radiotherapy. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2013;12(5):404–413.
73. Nidich SI, Fields JZ, Rainforth MV, et al. A randomized controlled trial of the effects of transcendental meditation on quality of life in older breast cancer patients. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2009;8(3):228–234.
74. Culos-Reed SN, Carlson LE, Daroux LM, Hately-Aldous S. A pilot study of yoga for breast cancer survivors: physical and psychological benefits. *Psychooncology*. 2006;15(10):891–897.
75. Danhauer SC, Mihalko SL, Russell GB, et al. Restorative yoga for women with breast cancer: findings from a randomized pilot study. *Psychooncology*. 2009;18(4):360–368.
76. Moadel AB, Shah C, Wylie-Rosett J, et al. Randomized controlled trial of yoga among a multiethnic sample of breast cancer patients: effects on quality of life. *J Clin Oncol*. 2007;25(28):4387–4395.
77. Vadiraja HS, Rao MR, Nagarathna R, et al. Effects of yoga program on quality of life and affect in early breast cancer patients undergoing adjuvant radiotherapy: a randomized controlled trial. *Complement Ther Med*. 2009;17(5-6):274–280.
78. Walker LG, Walker MB, Ogston K, et al. Psychological, clinical and pathological effects of relaxation training and guided imagery during primary chemotherapy. *Br J Cancer*. 1999;80(1-2):262–268.
79. Yoo HJ, Ahn SH, Kim SB, Kim WK, Han OS. Efficacy of progressive muscle relaxation training and guided imagery in reducing chemotherapy side effects in patients with breast cancer and in improving their quality of life. *Support Care Cancer*. 2005;13(10):826–833.
80. Burns DS. The effect of the bonny method of guided imagery and music on the mood and life quality of cancer patients. *J Music Ther*. 2001;38(1):51–65.
81. Zhou KN, Li XM, Yan H, Dang SN, Wang DL. Effects of music therapy on depression and duration of hospital stay of breast cancer patients after radical mastectomy. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. 2011;124(15):2321–2327.
82. Fernández-Lao C, Cantarero-Villanueva I, Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, del Moral-Ávila R, Castro-Sánchez AM, Arroyo-Morales M. Effectiveness of a multidimensional physical therapy program on pain, pressure hypersensitivity, and trigger points in breast cancer survivors: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Clin J Pain*. 2012;28(2):113–121.
83. Krohn M, Listing M, Tjahjono G, et al. Depression, mood, stress, and Th1/Th2 immune balance in primary breast cancer patients undergoing classical massage therapy. *Support Care Cancer*. 2011;19(9):1303–1311.
84. Listing M, Reissauer A, Krohn M, et al. Massage therapy reduces physical discomfort and improves mood disturbances in women with breast cancer. *Psychooncology*. 2009;18(12):1290–1299.
85. Jain S, Pavlik D, Distefan J, et al. Complementary medicine for fatigue and cortisol variability in breast cancer survivors: a randomized controlled trial. *Cancer*. 2012;118(3):777–787.
86. Post-White J, Kinney ME, Savik K, Gau JB, Wilcox C, Lerner I. Therapeutic massage and healing touch improve symptoms in cancer. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2003;2(4):332–344.
87. Walker EM, Rodriguez AI, Kohn B, et al. Acupuncture versus venlafaxine for the management of vasomotor symptoms in patients with hormone receptor-positive breast cancer: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28(4):634–640.
88. Barsevick AM, Dudley W, Beck S, Sweeney C, Whitmer K, Nail L. A randomized clinical trial of energy conservation for patients with cancer-related fatigue. *Cancer*. 2004;100(6):1302–1310.

89. Chen Z, Meng Z, Milbury K, et al. Qigong improves quality of life in women undergoing radiotherapy for breast cancer: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Cancer*. 2013;119(9):1690–1698.
90. Oh B, Butow P, Mullan B, et al. Impact of medical Qigong on quality of life, fatigue, mood and inflammation in cancer patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Ann Oncol*. 2010;21(3):608–614.
91. Johnston MF, Hays RD, Subramanian SK, et al. Patient education integrated with acupuncture for relief of cancer-related fatigue randomized controlled feasibility study. *BMC Complement Altern Med*. 2011;11:49.
92. Deng G, Chan Y, Sjöberg D, et al. Acupuncture for the treatment of post-chemotherapy chronic fatigue: a randomized, blinded, sham-controlled trial. *Support Care Cancer*. 2013;21(6):1735–1741.
93. Smith C, Carmady B, Thornton C, Perz J, Ussher JM. The effect of acupuncture on post-cancer fatigue and well-being for women recovering from breast cancer: a pilot randomised controlled trial. *Acupunct Med*. 2013;31(1):9–15.
94. Barton DL, Soori GS, Bauer BA, et al. Pilot study of *Panax quinquefolius* (American ginseng) to improve cancer-related fatigue: a randomized, double-blind, dose-finding evaluation: NCCTG trial N03CA. *Support Care Cancer*. 2010;18(2):179–187.
95. Barton DL, Liu H, Dakhil SR, et al. Wisconsin Ginseng (*Panax quinquefolius*) to improve cancer-related fatigue: a randomized, double-blind trial, N07C2. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2013;105(16):1230–1238.
96. King ML, Adler SR, Murphy LL. Extraction-dependent effects of American ginseng (*Panax quinquefolium*) on human breast cancer cell proliferation and estrogen receptor activation. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2006;5(3):236–243.
97. Gray SL, Lackey BR, Tate PL, Riley MB, Camper ND. Mycotoxins in root extracts of American and Asian ginseng bind estrogen receptors alpha and beta. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)*. 2004;229(6):560–568.
98. Liu J, Burdette JE, Xu H, et al. Evaluation of estrogenic activity of plant extracts for the potential treatment of menopausal symptoms. *J Agric Food Chem*. 2001;49(5):2472–2479.
99. Duda RB, Zhong Y, Navas V, Li MZ, Toy BR, Alavarez JG. American ginseng and breast cancer therapeutic agents synergistically inhibit MCF-7 breast cancer cell growth. *J Surg Oncol*. 1999;72(4):230–239.
100. Hershman DL, Unger JM, Crew KD, et al. Randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial of acetyl-L-carnitine for the prevention of taxane-induced neuropathy in women undergoing adjuvant breast cancer therapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(20):2627–2633.
101. da Costa Miranda V, Trufelli DC, Santos J, et al. Effectiveness of guaraná (*Paullinia cupana*) for postradiation fatigue and depression: results of a pilot double-blind randomized study. *J Altern Complement Med*. 2009;15(4):431–433.
102. de Oliveira Campos MP, Riechelmann R, Martins LC, Hassan BJ, Casa FB, Del Giglio A. Guarana (*Paullinia cupana*) improves fatigue in breast cancer patients undergoing systemic chemotherapy. *J Altern Complement Med*. 2011;17(6):505–512.
103. Mustian KM, Sprod LK, Janelins M, et al. Multicenter, randomized controlled trial of yoga for sleep quality among cancer survivors. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(26):3233–3241.
104. Andersen SR, Würtzen H, Steding-Jessen M, et al. Effect of mindfulness-based stress reduction on sleep quality: results of a randomized trial among Danish breast cancer patients. *Acta Oncol*. 2013;52(2):336–344.
105. Henderson VP, Clemow L, Massion AO, Hurley TG, Druker S, Hébert JR. The effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction on psychosocial outcomes and quality of life in early-stage breast cancer patients: a randomized trial. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2012;131(1):99–109.
106. Richardson MA, Post-White J, Grimm EA, Moye LA, Singletary SE, Justice B. Coping, life attitudes, and immune responses to imagery and group support after breast cancer treatment. *Altern Ther Health Med*. 1997;3(5):62–70.
107. Oh B, Butow PN, Mullan BA, et al. Effect of medical Qigong on cognitive function, quality of life, and a biomarker of inflammation in cancer patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Support Care Cancer*. 2012;20(6):1235–1242.
108. Dyer J, Thomas K, Sandsund C, Shaw C. Is reflexology as effective as aromatherapy massage for symptom relief in an adult outpatient oncology population? *Complement Ther Clin Pract*. 2013;19(3):139–146.
109. Sharp DM, Walker MB, Chaturvedi A, et al. A randomised, controlled trial of the psychological effects of reflexology in early breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2010;46(2):312–322.
110. Wyatt G, Sikorskii A, Rahbar MH, Victorson D, You M. Health-related quality-of-life outcomes: a reflexology trial with patients with advanced-stage breast cancer. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2012;39(6):568–577.
111. Antoni MH, Lechner SC, Kazi A, et al. How stress management improves quality of life after treatment for breast cancer. *J Consult Clin Psychol*. 2006;74(6):1143–1152.
112. Lerman R, Jarski R, Rea H, Gellish R, Vicini F. Improving symptoms and quality of life of female cancer survivors: a randomized controlled study. *Ann Surg Oncol*. 2012;19(2):373–378.
113. Banasik J, Williams H, Haberman M, Blank SE, Bendel R. Effect of Iyengar yoga practice on fatigue and diurnal salivary cortisol concentration in breast cancer survivors. *J Am Acad Nurse Pract*. 2011;23(3):135–142.
114. Littman AJ, Bertram LC, Ceballos R, et al. Randomized controlled pilot trial of yoga in overweight and obese breast cancer survivors: effects on quality of life and anthropometric measures. *Support Care Cancer*. 2012;20(2):267–277.
115. Frisk J, Källström AC, Wall N, Fredrikson M, Hammar M. Acupuncture improves health-related quality-of-life (HRQoL) and sleep in women with breast cancer and hot flashes. *Support Care Cancer*. 2012;20(4):715–724.
116. Molassiotis A, Bardy J, Finnegan-John J, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of acupuncture self-needling as maintenance therapy for cancer-related fatigue after therapist-delivered acupuncture. *Ann Oncol*. 2013;24(6):1645–1652.
117. Semiglazov VF, Stepula VV, Dudov A, Schnitker J, Mengs U. Quality of life is improved in breast cancer patients by Standardised Mistletoe Extract PS76A2 during chemotherapy and follow-up: a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind, multicentre clinical trial. *Anticancer Res*. 2006;26(2B):1519–1529.
118. Semiglazov VF, Stepula VV, Dudov A, Lehmacher W, Mengs U. The standardised mistletoe extract PS76A2 improves QoL in patients with breast cancer receiving adjuvant CMF chemotherapy: a randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind, multicentre clinical trial. *Anticancer Res*. 2004;24(2C):1293–1302.
119. Tröger W, Jezdić S, Zdrle Z, Tišma N, Hamre HJ, Matijašević M. Quality of life and neutropenia in patients with early stage breast cancer: a randomized pilot study comparing additional treatment with mistletoe extract to chemotherapy alone. *Breast Cancer (Auckl)*. 2009;3:35–45.
120. Schöffski P, Riggert S, Fumoleau P, et al. Phase I trial of intravenous aviscumine (rViscumin) in patients with solid tumors: a study of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer New Drug Development Group. *Ann Oncol*. 2004;15(12):1816–1824.
121. Schöffski P, Breidenbach I, Krauter J, et al. Weekly 24h infusion of aviscumine (rViscumin): a phase I study in patients with solid tumours. *Eur J Cancer*. 2005;41(10):1431–1438.
122. Adamsen L, Quist M, Andersen C, et al. Effect of a multimodal high intensity exercise intervention in cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2009;339:b3410.
123. Barsevick A, Beck SL, Dudley WN, et al. Efficacy of an intervention for fatigue and sleep disturbance during cancer chemotherapy. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2010;40(2):200–216.
124. Beith JM, Oh B, Chatfield MD, et al. Electroacupuncture for nausea, vomiting, and myelosuppression in women receiving adjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer: a randomized controlled pilot trial. *Medical Acupuncture*. 2012;24:241–248.
125. Shen J, Wenger N, Glaspy J, et al. Electroacupuncture for control of myeloablative chemotherapy-induced emesis: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2000;284(21):2755–2761.
126. Dibble SL, Chapman J, Mack KA, Shih AS. Acupressure for nausea: results of a pilot study. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2000;27(1):41–47.
127. Dibble SL, Luce J, Cooper BA, et al. Acupressure for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: a randomized clinical trial. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2007;34(4):813–820.
128. Molassiotis A, Helin AM, Dabbour R, Hummerston S. The effects of P6 acupressure in the prophylaxis of chemotherapy-related nausea and vomiting in breast cancer patients. *Complement Ther Med*. 2007;15(1):3–12.

129. Ezzo J, Streitberger K, Schneider A, Cochrane systematic reviews examine P6 acupuncture-point stimulation for nausea and vomiting. *J Altern Complement Med*. 2006;12(5):489–495.
130. Ezzo J, Vickers A, Richardson MA, et al. Acupuncture-point stimulation for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23(28):7188–7198.
131. Garcia MK, McQuade J, Haddad R, et al. Systematic review of acupuncture in cancer care: a synthesis of the evidence. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(7):952–960.
132. NIH Consensus Conference. Acupuncture. *JAMA*. 1998;280(17):1518–1524.
133. Panahi Y, Saadat A, Sahebkar A, Hashemian F, Taghikhani M, Abolhasani E. Effect of ginger on acute and delayed chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: a pilot, randomized, open-label clinical trial. *Integr Cancer Ther*. 2012;11(3):204–211.
134. Ryan JL, Heckler CE, Roscoe JA, et al. Ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) reduces acute chemotherapy-induced nausea: a URCC CCOP study of 576 patients. *Support Care Cancer*. 2012;20(7):1479–1489.
135. Marx WM, Teleni L, McCarthy AL, et al. Ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) and chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: a systematic literature review. *Nutr Rev*. 2013;71(4):245–254.
136. Lee J, Oh H. Ginger as an antiemetic modality for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2013;40(2):163–170.
137. Zick SM, Ruffin MT, Lee J, et al. Phase II trial of encapsulated ginger as a treatment for chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. *Support Care Cancer*. 2009;17(5):563–572.
138. Bozzetti F, Biganzoli L, Gavazzi C, et al. Glutamine supplementation in cancer patients receiving chemotherapy: a double-blind randomized study. *Nutrition*. 1997;13(7-8):748–751.
139. Peterson DE, Jones JB, Petit RG. Randomized, placebo-controlled trial of Safforin for prevention and treatment of oral mucositis in breast cancer patients receiving anthracycline-based chemotherapy. *Cancer*. 2007;109(2):322–331.
140. Li XM, Yan H, Zhou KN, Dang SN, Wang DL, Zhang YP. Effects of music therapy on pain among female breast cancer patients after radical mastectomy: results from a randomized controlled trial. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2011;128(2):411–419.
141. Cantarero-Villanueva I, Fernández-Lao C, Fernández-de-Las-Peñas C, et al. Effectiveness of water physical therapy on pain, pressure pain sensitivity, and myofascial trigger points in breast cancer survivors: a randomized, controlled clinical trial. *Pain Med*. 2012;13(11):1509–1519.
142. Montgomery GH, Weltz CR, Seltz M, Bovbjerg DH. Brief presurgery hypnosis reduces distress and pain in excisional breast biopsy patients. *Int J Clin Exp Hypn*. 2002;50(1):17–32.
143. Montgomery GH, Bovbjerg DH, Schnur JB, et al. A randomized clinical trial of a brief hypnosis intervention to control side effects in breast surgery patients. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2007;99(17):1304–1312.
144. Bao T, Cai L, Giles JT, et al. A dual-center randomized controlled double blind trial assessing the effect of acupuncture in reducing musculoskeletal symptoms in breast cancer patients taking aromatase inhibitors. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2013;138(1):167–174.
145. Crew KD, Capodice JL, Greenlee H, et al. Randomized, blinded, sham-controlled trial of acupuncture for the management of aromatase inhibitor-associated joint symptoms in women with early-stage breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28(7):1154–1160.
146. Crew KD, Capodice JL, Greenlee H, et al. Pilot study of acupuncture for the treatment of joint symptoms related to adjuvant aromatase inhibitor therapy in postmenopausal breast cancer patients. *J Cancer Surviv*. 2007;1(4):283–291.
147. Oh B, Kimble B, Costa DS, et al. Acupuncture for treatment of arthralgia secondary to aromatase inhibitor therapy in women with early breast cancer: pilot study. *Acupunct Med*. 2013;31(3):264–271.
148. Mao JJ, Xie SX, Farrar JT, et al. A randomized trial of electro-acupuncture for arthralgia related to aromatase inhibitor use. *Eur J Cancer*. 2014;50(2):267–276.
149. Andersen L, Højris I, Erlandsen M, Andersen J. Treatment of breast-cancer-related lymphedema with or without manual lymphatic drainage—a randomized study. *Acta Oncol*. 2000;39(3):399–405.
150. Dayes IS, Whelan TJ, Julian JA, et al. Randomized trial of decongestive lymphatic therapy for the treatment of lymphedema in women with breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013;31(30):3758–3763.
151. Devoogdt N, Christiaens MR, Geraerts I, et al. Effect of manual lymph drainage in addition to guidelines and exercise therapy on arm lymphoedema related to breast cancer: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ*. 2011;343:d5326.
152. Gurdal SO, Kostanoglu A, Cavdar I, et al. Comparison of intermittent pneumatic compression with manual lymphatic drainage for treatment of breast cancer-related lymphedema. *Lymphat Res Biol*. 2012;10(3):129–135.
153. Maher J, Refshauge K, Ward L, Paterson R, Kilbreath S. Change in extracellular fluid and arm volumes as a consequence of a single session of lymphatic massage followed by rest with or without compression. *Support Care Cancer*. 2012;20(12):3079–3086.
154. McNeely ML, Magee DJ, Lees AW, Bagnall KM, Haykowsky M, Hanson J. The addition of manual lymph drainage to compression therapy for breast cancer related lymphedema: a randomized controlled trial. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2004;86(2):95–106.
155. Williams AF, Vadgama A, Franks PJ, Mortimer PS. A randomized controlled crossover study of manual lymphatic drainage therapy in women with breast cancer-related lymphoedema. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl)*. 2002;11(4):254–261.
156. Ahmed Omar MT, Abd-El-Gayed Ebid A, El Morsy AM. Treatment of post-mastectomy lymphedema with laser therapy: double blind placebo control randomized study. *J Surg Res*. 2011;165(1):82–90.
157. Ridner SH, Poage-Hooper E, Kanar C, Doersam JK, Bond SM, Dietrich MS. A pilot randomized trial evaluating low-level laser therapy as an alternative treatment to manual lymphatic drainage for breast cancer-related lymphedema. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2013;40(4):383–393.
158. Bokmand S, Flyger H. Acupuncture relieves menopausal discomfort in breast cancer patients: a prospective, double blinded, randomized study. *Breast*. 2013;22(3):320–323.
159. Deng G, Vickers A, Yeung S, et al. Randomized, controlled trial of acupuncture for the treatment of hot flashes in breast cancer patients. *J Clin Oncol*. 2007;25(35):5584–5590.
160. Hervik J, Mjåland O. Quality of life of breast cancer patients medicated with anti-estrogens, 2 years after acupuncture treatment: a qualitative study. *Int J Womens Health*. 2010;2:319–325.
161. Liljegren A, Gunnarsson P, Landgren BM, Robéus N, Johansson H, Rotstein S. Reducing vasomotor symptoms with acupuncture in breast cancer patients treated with adjuvant tamoxifen: a randomized controlled trial. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2012;135(3):791–798.
162. Bao T, Cai L, Snyder C, et al. Patient-reported outcomes in women with breast cancer enrolled in a dual-center, double-blind, randomized controlled trial assessing the effect of acupuncture in reducing aromatase inhibitor-induced musculoskeletal symptoms. *Cancer*. 2014;120(3):381–389.
163. Nedstrand E, Wijma K, Wyon Y, Hammar M. Vasomotor symptoms decrease in women with breast cancer randomized to treatment with applied relaxation or electro-acupuncture: a preliminary study. *Climacteric*. 2005;8(3):243–250.
164. Frisk J, Carlhäll S, Källström AC, Lindh-Astrand L, Malmström A, Hammar M. Long-term follow-up of acupuncture and hormone therapy on hot flushes in women with breast cancer: a prospective, randomized, controlled multicenter trial. *Climacteric*. 2008;11(2):166–174.
165. MacGregor CA, Canney PA, Patterson G, McDonald R, Paul J. A randomised double-blind controlled trial of oral soy supplements versus placebo for treatment of menopausal symptoms in patients with early breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer*. 2005;41(5):708–714.
166. Quella SK, Loprinzi CL, Barton DL, et al. Evaluation of soy phytoestrogens for the treatment of hot flashes in breast cancer survivors: a North Central Cancer Treatment Group Trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2000;18(5):1068–1074.
167. Van Patten CL, Olivetto IA, Chambers GK, et al. Effect of soy phytoestrogens on hot flashes in postmenopausal women with breast cancer: a randomized, controlled clinical trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2002;20(6):1449–1455.
168. Heggie S, Bryant GP, Tripcony L, et al. A Phase III study on the efficacy of topical aloe vera gel on irradiated breast tissue. *Cancer Nurs*. 2002;25(6):442–451.
169. Williams MS, Burk M, Loprinzi CL, et al. Phase III double-blind evaluation of an aloe vera gel as a prophylactic agent for radiation-induced skin toxicity. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 1996;36(2):345–349.
170. Kirova YM, Fromantin I, De Rycke Y, et al. Can we decrease the skin reaction in breast cancer patients using hyaluronic acid during

radiation therapy? Results of phase III randomised trial. *Radiother Oncol*. 2011;100(2):205–209.

171. Pinnix C, Perkins GH, Strom EA, et al. Topical hyaluronic acid vs. standard of care for the prevention of radiation dermatitis after adjuvant radiotherapy for breast cancer: single-blind randomized phase III clinical trial. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2012;83(4):1089–1094.
172. Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Bennett JM, Andridge R, et al. Yoga's impact on inflammation, mood, and fatigue in breast cancer survivors: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32(10):1040–1049.
173. Chandwani KD, Perkins G, Nagendra HR, et al. Randomized, controlled trial of yoga in women with breast cancer undergoing radiotherapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32(10):1058–1065.

Funding

Funding was provided by the Society for Integrative Oncology.

Notes

We thank the following research assistants for their contribution to this project: Columbia University: Alex “Chip” Bowman, MPH, Melissa Dupont-Reyes, MPH, Lindsay Greenawalt, MS, Jennifer Mongiovi, Misa Nuccio, and Wendy Yu, MPH; Canadian College of Naturopathic Medicine: Heidi Fritz, ND, MA, Amita Sachdev, ND, and Cheryl Karthaus ND (cand.); Ottawa Integrative Cancer Center: Laura Weeks, PhD; University of British Columbia: Erin Waters, MSc; University of California, San Francisco: Caylie See, MTOM, LAc; University of Calgary: Jillian Johnson, MSc, Rie Tamagawa, PhD, and Jennifer White, PhD; and University of Michigan: Kevin Shrestha and Tohfa Khabir.

We thank the following internal and external reviewers for their insightful comments and critiques: Internal reviewers: Board of Trustees of the Society for Integrative Oncology; Donald Abrams, MD (University of California, San Francisco); Lorenzo Cohen, PhD (University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center); Gustavo J. Dobos, MD (Duisburg-Essen University); Erika Erickson; Omer Kucuk, MD (Emory University); Jun Mao, MD, MS (University of Pennsylvania); Gregory Plotnikoff, MD (Penny George Institute for Health and Healing). External reviewers: Gabriel N. Hortobagyi, MD (University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center); Anna Wu, PhD (University of Southern California); Musa Mayer (AdvancedBC.org); and Eun-Sil Shelley Hwang, MD, MPH (Duke University).

Affiliations of authors: Department of Epidemiology, Mailman School of Public Health (HG, DH), Herbert Irving Comprehensive Cancer Center, (HG, DH), and Department of Medicine, College of Physicians and Surgeons (DH), Columbia University, New York, NY (HG, DH); School of Nursing, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada (LGB); Department of Oncology, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada (LEC); Institute for Health and Aging, University of California San Francisco, CA (MC); Chicken Soup Chinese Medicine, San Francisco, CA (MC); Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY (GD); Harbin Clinic, Rome, GA (MM); Gemini Group, Ann Arbor, MI (JP); Ottawa Integrative Cancer Center, Ottawa, ON, Canada (DS); Canadian College of Naturopathic Medicine, Toronto, ON, Canada (DS); Department of Family Medicine, University of Michigan Health System (AS, SMZ), Department of Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health (SMZ), and Department of Biostatistics (AS), University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI (AS, SMZ); Department of Breast Medical Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX (DT).